



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

Cost/Benefit Analysis of the Health and Environmental Issues of Oxygenated and Non-Oxygenated Gasoline Formulations

Dr. Arturo Keller

University of California, Santa Barbara

Presented at:

**Workshop on the Increased Use of Ethanol and Alkylates in
Automotive Fuels in California, April 10-11, 2001**



Components of the Analysis

- † Air Quality Benefits of Reformulated Gasoline
- † Human Health Effects
- † Ecological Effects
- † Exposure Assessment
- † Extent of Contamination of Drinking Water Supplies
- † Water Treatment
- † Cost-Benefit Analysis of Gasoline Alternatives
- † Policy Recommendations



Gasoline Formulations

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Reference point: Conventional gasoline
- † Reformulated Gasoline with MTBE
- † Reformulated Gasoline with Ethanol
- † Non-oxygenated Reformulated Gasoline
 - † Toluene increased (e.g. Chevron)
 - † Alkyls added (e.g. iso-octane)



Air Quality Considerations

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † RFG (Reformulated Gasoline)
 - † Reduced benzene emissions
 - † Reduced CO, NO_x, VOC and toxic emissions
 - † Lower ozone forming potential
- † RFG with MTBE
 - † Useful for older vehicles (~pre-1990): reduce CO
 - † No significant difference for newer vehicles
 - † MTBE emissions to atmosphere
 - † Increased formaldehyde emissions



Air Quality Considerations

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † RFG with Ethanol
 - † Same benefits as gasoline with MTBE
 - † Ethanol emissions to atmosphere
 - † Increased acetaldehyde emissions
- † Non-oxygenated RFG (with more Toluene or alkyl)
 - † Same benefits as gasoline with MTBE or Ethanol
 - † Increased toluene or alkyl emissions to atmosphere
 - † No additional combustion by-products



Human Health Effects of MTBE

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † MTBE is an animal carcinogen at high doses; potential to cause cancer in humans (CAL-DHS level at 13 ppb)
- † Mechanisms by which MTBE causes cancer is not understood, but either TBA or formaldehyde may play a role
- † Laboratory animal experiments indicate reproductive or developmental toxicity at very high exposure levels
- † Acute effects at high concentrations (> 100 ppm)
- † Taste and odor are a significant concern at low levels (5-20 ppb)
- † Formaldehyde as a Product of Incomplete Combustion



Human Health Effects of Ethanol

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Acute and Chronic Toxicity at high concentrations (several percent by volume)
- † Little information at low concentrations expected in environment (ppb to ppm)
- † Taste and odor probably not a major issue at low concentrations: may be noticeable and objectionable at higher concentrations
(RESEARCH NEEDED)
- † Acetaldehyde as a Product of Incomplete Combustion



Human Health Effects of Toluene

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Acute and Chronic Toxicity at high levels
- † Reference Concentration (RfC) in air of 0.4 mg/m³ or 400 µg/m³ (USEPA, 1993d)
- † In California, the mean concentration of toluene in air is 8.5 µg/m³
- † Not proven to be a carcinogen (animal studies have proven negative)
- † Toluene in tap water would require treatment to bring risk to acceptable level (below RfD)



Human Health Effects: Alkylates

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Isooctane not classified as a hazardous air pollutant by USEPA
- † Isooctane not considered by Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) within their priorities
- † Acute toxicity at high concentrations in air
- † **RESEARCH NEEDED**



Ecological Effects of MTBE

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Acute toxicity only at very high concentrations (44 to 1000 mg/L)
- † Chronic toxicity at high levels (200 mg/L and higher)
- † No developmental effects detected in fish at concentrations between 10 ug/L and 700 mg/L
- † No effect on mammalian reproduction at levels up to 2000 mg/kg-day
- † Very few species have been studied



Ecological Effects

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

† Ethanol, Toluene, Iso-octane

† As with any gasoline constituent, high damage near the spill

† Expect damages to be similar to conventional gasoline once the concentrations are diluted in a river or groundwater

† **RESEARCH NEEDED** on Ecotoxicity

Fate and Transport of MTBE



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Very high solubility => transfers to water easily
- † Volatile => can evaporate from spills and can be removed from surface water reservoirs to atmosphere
- † Very low sorption => doesn't slow down in groundwater
- † Very slow biodegradation under natural conditions => persistent, will travel far



Fate and Transport of Ethanol

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Very high solubility => transfers to water easily
- † Volatile => can evaporate from spills and can be removed from surface water reservoirs to atmosphere (slower than MTBE)
- † Very low sorption => doesn't slow down in groundwater
- † Very FAST biodegradation under natural conditions => will be used first by microbes
- † May use up all the oxygen before BTEX, extending benzene plume **(RESEARCH NEEDED)**



Fate and Transport of Toluene

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Soluble => transfers to water
- † Volatile => can evaporate from spills and can be removed from surface water reservoirs to atmosphere
- † Some sorption => slows down in groundwater
- † Easily biodegraded under natural conditions
=> used by microbes in first 1000-3000 ft.
- † Travels behind Benzene, so degraded after it



Fate and Transport: Isooctane

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Low Solubility => stays longer in gasoline spill
- † Volatile => can evaporate from spills and can be removed from surface water reservoirs to atmosphere
- † Higher sorption => slows down appreciably in groundwater
- † Easily biodegraded under natural conditions => used by microbes in first 1000-3000 ft.
- † Travels behind Benzene, so degraded after it



Cost of Water Treatment

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Cost of treating water with:
 - † MTBE is about 40 to 100% higher than conventional gasoline
 - † Ethanol should be similar to conventional gasoline **(RESEARCH NEEDED)**
 - † Toluene similar to conventional gasoline
 - † Iso-octane similar to conventional gasoline



Direct Costs

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Fuel Prices increase for MTBE, Ethanol and Iso-octane, relative to conventional gasoline (CEC, 1999)
- † Fuel Prices increase initially for Toluene (first 1-3 years) then may drop in long-term
- † Fuel Consumption increases for MTBE and Ethanol (get lower MPG)
- † Fuel Consumption decreases for Toluene and Iso-octane

Cost/Benefit Analysis for California



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

	CaRFG2-MTBE	CaRFG2-Ethanol	Non-oxy CaRFG2
Air Quality Benefits	\$2 to \$84 million	\$2 to \$84 million	\$2 to \$84 million
Health Costs			
air quality damages	\$0 to \$27 million	\$3 to \$200 million	N.S. ¹
water treatment	\$340 to \$1480 million	N.S. ¹	\$1 to \$10 million
alternate water supplies	\$1 to 30 million	N.S. ¹	N.S. ¹
Direct Costs			
fuel price increase	\$135 to \$675 million	\$290 to \$991 million	\$141 to \$1300 million
fuel efficiency decrease	\$310 to \$400 million	\$290 to \$580 million	(\$150) to (\$230) million
Other Costs			
water monitoring	\$2 to \$4 million	N.S. ¹	N.S. ¹
recreational costs	\$160 to \$200 million	N.S. ¹	N.S. ¹
ecosystem damages	N.S. ¹	N.S. ¹	N.S. ¹
Costs Subtotal	\$0.9 to 2.8 billion	\$0.6 to \$1.8 billion	(\$0.09) to \$1.2 billion
Net Benefit or (Cost)	(\$0.9) to (\$2.7) billion	(\$0.5) to (\$1.8) billion	\$0 to (\$1.2) billion

¹N.S. = not significant

Cost-Benefit Analysis



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † RFG with MTBE: most expensive option to meet 1990 CAA objectives due to water treatment costs, higher fuel prices and higher fuel consumption
- † RFG with Ethanol: intermediate option, with some air quality concerns (acetaldehyde) as well as need for ethanol subsidies to make it competitive
- † Non-oxygenated RFG: least expensive option in long-term, and best considering the current average vehicle technology

Policy Recommendations



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

† Issues:

- † Need to evaluate risk of increasing toluene levels in gasoline
- † Premium grade probably needs oxygenate
- † Flexibility in CAAA requirements, to find the least-cost option to achieve air quality objectives, considering the risks
- † Study health and environmental impacts of any option **BEFORE** changing the law

Policy Recommendations



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- 1) Promote accelerated removal of older, high emitting vehicles
- 2) Waive Federal requirement for oxygen content
- 3) Facilitate production of non-oxygenated gasoline
- 4) Assess groundwater contamination as soon as possible and avoid delays in clean-up: reduce risk and cost

Policy Recommendations



Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- 5) Provide incentives to adopt Best Management Practices for surface water reservoirs
- 6) Establish specific emissions requirements for motor boat engines
- 7) Fully assess environmental impacts of ethanol, toluene, alkylates as MTBE substitutes
- 8) Invest in long-term research program to determine toxicological effects of untested industrial products and fuel alternatives



Acknowledgements

Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

- † Dr. Linda Fernandez, now at UC Riverside, was co-investigator in this study and provided the economic tools to analyze the various costs and benefits
- † Research funded by UC Toxic Substances Research and Training Program under SB 521